

County Economic Profile


Oktibbeha County, MS

extension.msstate.edu/economic-profiles



Demographics

	Oktibbeha	Mississippi	United States
Total Population, 2016 (Census)	49,833	2,988,726	323,127,513
Percent Change in Total Population, 2012-2016 (Census)	1.7%	0.1%	2.9%
Non-White Population, 2015 (Census)	41.7%	40.8%	26.4%
Pct of Population that is Older than 64 years, 2015 (Census)	9.9%	13.9%	14.1%
Percent of the Population in Poverty, 2015 (SAIPE)	27.1%	22.1%	14.7%
Pct of the Total Population under 18 in Poverty, 2015 Estimate (SAIPE)	30.8%	31.5%	20.7%
Percent of the Population 25 and Older that have a High School Diploma, GED, or more, 2011-2015 Estimate (ACS)	86.2%	82.3%	86.7%
Percent of the Population 25 and Older that have a Bachelor's Degree or more, 2011-2015 Estimate (ACS)	43.0%	20.7%	29.8%
Average travel time to work (minutes), 2011-2015 Estimate (ACS)	19	24	25.9
Unemployment Rate, 2016 Annual Average (BLS)	5.5%	5.8%	4.9%
Current Median Household Income, 2015 Estimate (SAIPE)	\$38,011	\$40,630	\$55,775



Source: EMSI

Declining Industries

The industry is declining compared to the nation (change in LQ < -20%)

Mgt of Comp/Enterprises

Emerging Industries

The industry is growing compared to the nation (change in LQ > 20%) but not necessarily largely concentrated in the county (LQ < 1)

Arts/Enter/Rec, Utilities, Wholesale Trade

Anchor Industries


The industry is relatively concentrated in the county (LQ > 1.5) but neither expanding nor declining

Acc/Food Svcs, Government

Gross County/State Product (Bureau of Economic Analysis) (2 digit NAICS Code aggregation exc as parenthetically not- ed)	Oktibbeha		Mississippi		% Chg in County	County as % of MS
Top Ten Sectors (Millions of dollars)	2011	2015	2011	2015	11-15	2015
All industry total	1,792	1,973	101,351	107,680	10.1%	1.8%
Government	644	724	17,708	19,068	12.4%	3.8%
Finance, insurance, real estate, rental, and leasing	188	218	14,421	15,867	16.0%	1.4%
Professional, scientific, and technical services	56	209	3,156	11,549	274.1%	1.8%
Real estate and rental and leasing	172	196	9,856	11,051	14.2%	1.8%
Manufacturing	179	196	17,145	17,057	9.6%	1.1%
Retail trade	112	139	7,912	9,063	24.3%	1.5%
Educational services, health care, and social assistance	100	119	8,302	9,062	19.6%	1.3%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation, and food	98	119	4,405	4,764	21.1%	2.5%
Accommodation and food services	87	104	3,715	4,110	18.8%	2.5%
Health care and social assistance	85	96	7,517	8,267	13.8%	1.2%

Employment Growth by Business Size Class 2014—youreconomy.org			
Firms	Employees	Ann P/R	
All Firms	857	11,954	\$339,570
Size Class	Firms	Size Class	Firms
1-4 Employees	409	20-49 Employees	91
5-9 Employees	192	50-99 Employees	28
10-19 Employees	119	100-249 Employees	13

Per Capita Personal Income versus Average Proprietor Income
Oktibbeha County



Top Employment Sectors 2015— EMSI		
NAICS	Sector	Jobs
902	State Government	6,534
722	Food Svcs & Drinking Places	2,971
903	Local Government	2,374
561	Admin/Support Svcs	1,616
541	Prof, Sci, & Tech Svcs	970
621	Ambul Health Care Svcs	941
531	Real Estate	885

Top Occupation Sectors 2015— EMSI		
SOC	Sector	Jobs
41-2000	Retail Sales Workers	1,562
25-1000	Postsecondary Teachers	1,385
2593528	Othr Mgmt Occupations	1,269
35-3000	Food & Beverage Serving Wrkrs	1,209
35-2000	Cooks/Food Prep Wrkrs	1,089
37-2000	Bldg Cleaning & Pest Control Wrkrs	1,074
43-9000	Othr Office/Admin Spprt Wrkrs	975

For further information, contact Alan Barefield at
662.325.7995 or alan.barefield@msstate.edu.

MISSISSIPPI COUNTY ECONOMIC PROFILES

DATA KEY

Total Population, 2016

These data were obtained from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey five year estimates tables. <http://www.census.gov>

Percent Change in Total Population, 2012-2016

These data were obtained from the 2007-2012 and 2012-2016 American Community Survey five year estimates tables. <http://www.census.gov>

Percent of the Population that is Non-white, 2015

These data were obtained from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey five year estimates tables. They show the percentage of persons for the county, state and nation who either classified themselves as multi-racial or as a race other than White.

<http://www.census.gov>

Percent of the Population that is Older than 64 years, 2015

These data were obtained from the 2011-2015 American Community Survey five year estimates tables and show the proportion of persons residing in the county who report themselves to be 65 years of age and older.

<http://www.census.gov>

Percent of the Population in Poverty, 2015 Estimate

These data were obtained from the Model-based Small Area Income & Poverty Estimates (SAIPE) for School Districts, Counties, and States.

<http://www.census.gov/did/www/saipe>

Percent of the Total Population under 18 in Poverty, 2015 Estimate

These data were obtained from the Model-based Small Area Income & Poverty Estimates (SAIPE) for School Districts, Counties, and States.

<http://www.census.gov/did/www/saipe>

Percent of the Population 25 and Older that have a High School Diploma, GED, or more, 2015

These data were obtained from the American Community Survey 2011-2015 5-year estimates.

<http://www.census.gov>

Percent of the Population 25 and Older that have a Bachelor's Degree or more, 2015 Estimate

These data were obtained from the American Community Survey 2011-2015 5-year estimates.

<http://www.census.gov>

Average Travel Time to work (for persons who do not work at home), 2015 Estimate

These data were obtained from the American Community Survey 2011-2015 5-year Estimates.

<http://www.census.gov>

Unemployment Rate, 2016 Annual Average

These data were obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<http://bls.gov/lau/#tables>

Current Median Household Income, 2015 Estimate

These data were obtained from the Model-based Small Area Income & Poverty Estimates (SAIPE) for School Districts, Counties, and States.

<http://www.census.gov/did/www/saipe>

Location Quotients

Location quotients are the comparisons of the percentage of workers in a particular economic sector in the county as compared to the percentage of workers in that economic sector for the nation. If the location quotient (measured on the vertical axis) is greater than 1.0, then the county could have a competitive economic advantage for that particular sector. Location Quotients are calculated for all classes of workers, including Quarterly Census of Employees and Wages (QCEW) employees, Non-QCEW employees, Self-Employed, and Extended Proprietors (miscellaneous labor income).

The horizontal axis measures the percentage change in the size of the location quotient for a particular sector over the last five years (2012-2016). If the percentage change in the location quotient is greater than zero, then the competitive advantage of the county (in relation to the nation) has increased. Conversely, if the percentage change is less than zero, then the competitive advantage of the county has declined.

The sectors shown on this chart are the five sectors that have the highest employment in the county. The size of the bubble for each particular sector demonstrates the relative level of employment. The depicted sectors are a subset of the twenty-two 2-digit North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes that are a standard classification system used in economic analysis (an exception to this classification is the extrusion of Production Agriculture and Forestry, Fishing, and Related Activities that were derived from NAICS Code 11). The entire list of 2-digit NAICS codes is provided below. The data used in these calculations were obtained from Economic Modeling Systems Incorporated (EMSI).

2-digit NAICS Code Sectors

Code Sector Name

- 11 Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting
- 21 Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction
- 22 Utilities
- 23 Construction
- 31-33 Manufacturing
- 42 Wholesale Trade
- 44-45 Retail Trade
- 48-49 Transportation and Warehousing
- 51 Information
- 52 Finance and Insurance
- 53 Real Estate and Rental and Leasing
- 54 Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services
- 55 Management of Companies and Enterprises
- 56 Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services
- 61 Educational Services
- 62 Health Care and Social Assistance
- 71 Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation
- 72 Accommodation and Food Services
- 81 Other Services (except Public Administration)

92 Public Administration (Government)

Source: <http://www.census.gov/eos/www/naics/>

Gross Product

Gross product is a comprehensive measure of the economic activity in a specific geographic area. It is calculated as the sum of the value-added activity in an area. In this case, state gross product numbers for the state were apportioned to the counties by the level of employment in particular economic sectors in the county. The exceptions are for estimates of the gross product in the counties attributable to production agriculture. In this case, cash farm receipt numbers are used due to the volatility of employment levels in this particular sector.

Data for these estimates were obtained from two sources. Gross state product data and employment data (where available) were obtained from the Bureau of Economic Analysis. In the cases where BEA employment data were suppressed for non-disclosure purposes, estimates from the Woods & Poole proprietary Comprehensive Economic Development Data System (CEDDS) were used. Farm cash receipts were obtained from BEA.

All data in this table are aggregated to the 2-digit NAICS code (see above). Estimates for other sectors are available on request.

<http://bea.gov>

Employment Growth by Stage and Size of Business

Estimates for the number of net openings (openings minus closings), net expansion (businesses expanding minus businesses shrinking) and net relocations (businesses relocating to the area minus businesses moving from the area) are provided by three business size classifications. These estimates are provided by YourEconomy.

<http://youreconomy.org>

Real Personal versus Proprietor Income

Personal per capita income is compared with average proprietor income (total proprietor income divided by the number of proprietors) and average nonfarm proprietor income (total nonfarm proprietor income divided by the number of nonfarm proprietors). If the level of average nonfarm proprietor income is less than the level of average proprietor income, then the level of average farm proprietor income is greater than the level of average proprietor income (the converse is also true). Data for these calculations were obtained from the Bureau of Economic Analysis.

<http://bea.gov>

Top Ten Employment Sectors

Estimates at the 3-digit NAICS code level were obtained from the proprietary data source Economic Modeling Specialists, Inc.

<http://economicmodeling.com>

Top Ten Occupation Sectors

Estimates at the 3-digit SOC code level were obtained from the proprietary data source Economic Modeling Specialists, Inc.

<http://economicmodeling.com>

Publication P2977-54 (POD-06-17)

By **Alan Barefield**, Extension Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics and **Ellen Moore**, Student Assistant, Department of Agricultural Economics.

Copyright 2017 by Mississippi State University. All rights reserved. This publication may be copied and distributed without alteration for nonprofit educational purposes provided that credit is given to the Mississippi State University Extension Service.

We are an equal opportunity employer, and all qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability status, protected veteran status, or any other characteristic protected by law.

Extension Service of Mississippi State University, cooperating with U.S. Department of Agriculture. Published in furtherance of Acts of Congress, May 8 and June 30, 1914. GARY B. JACKSON, Director

