

Each assignment will be graded according to the following rubric and an average score of 2.5 is required in order for you to receive credit. Submissions that do not meet this criterion will be required to be corrected and resubmitted. While participants who work for the same economic development organization are encouraged to collaborate on assignments, each participant is required to submit an individual assignment. Identical submissions from participants working for the same organization will not be accepted.



<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Excellent (4 points)</b>	<b>Good (3 points)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (2 points)</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory (1 point)</b>	<b>Points</b>
<b>Content Quality</b>	Thorough understanding demonstrated; insightful analysis; well-supported arguments	Good understanding; mostly clear analysis; supported with examples	Basic understanding; limited analysis; some unsupported claims	Limited understanding; lacks analysis or support	
<b>Relevance &amp; Focus</b>	Fully aligned with assignment objectives; stays focused throughout	Generally relevant; minor deviations from focus	Somewhat relevant; parts are off-topic or unclear	Off-topic or unfocused; irrelevant content	
<b>Organization &amp; Structure</b>	Clear, logical structure; well-organized sections; smooth flow	Mostly organized; minor issues with flow	Somewhat organized; jumps or gaps in logic	Poorly organized; difficult to follow	
<b>Use of Examples</b>	Uses relevant examples effectively <b>where appropriate</b>	Uses relevant examples (where appropriate) with minor inaccuracies	Examples are limited or somewhat irrelevant	Lacks examples; very limited or no support	
<b>Presentation &amp; Language</b>	Clear, concise, well-written; free of grammatical errors	Clear writing; few grammatical issues	Adequate but with some grammatical/spelling errors	Difficult to understand; many errors	